

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS**

-----	X
IN RE YASMIN AND YAZ (DROSPIRENONE)	3:09-md-02100-DRH-PMF
MARKETING, SALES PRACTICES AND	
PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION	MDL No. 2100

This Document Relates to:

Judge David R. Herndon

<i>Hedger v. Bayer Corporation et al.</i>	No. 3:10-cv-10213-DRH-PMF
<i>Hubbard v. Bayer Corporation et al.</i>	No. 3:10-cv-11321-DRH-PMF
<i>Hudson v. Bayer Corp., et al.</i>	No. 3:11-cv-11454-DRH-PMF
<i>Bennett on Behalf of Minor, Jessica Hurst v. Bayer Corp., et al.</i>	No. 3:10-cv-10205-DRH-PMF
<i>Johns v. Bayer Corp., et al.</i>	No. 3:10-cv-10227-DRH-PMF
<i>Kabala v. Bayer Corp., et al.</i>	No. 3:11-cv-11005-DRH-PMF
<i>Kay v. Bayer Corp., et al.</i>	No. 3:10-cv-12672-DRH-PMF
<i>Teresa Keckler v. Bayer Corp., et al.</i>	No. 3:10-cv-11961-DRH-PMF
<i>Klein v. Bayer Healthcare Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al.</i>	No. 3:11-cv-11223-DRH-PMF
<i>Knighten v. Bayer Corp., et al.</i>	No. 3:10-cv-11356-DRH-PMF
<i>Lane v. Bayer Corp., et al.</i>	No. 3:10-cv-11954-DRH-PMF
<i>Leonard v. Bayer Corp., et al.</i>	No. 3:10-cv-12760-DRH-PMF
<i>Loyd v. Bayer Corp., et al.</i>	No. 3:11-cv-11841-DRH-PMF
<i>Loest v. Bayer Corp., et al.</i>	No. 3:11-cv-12467-DRH-PMF
<i>Majors v. Bayer Corp., et al.</i>	No. 3:11-cv-11526-DRH-PMF

<i>Martinez v. Bayer Corp., et al.</i>	No. 3:10-cv-12331-DRH-PMF
<i>Mattson v. Bayer Corp., et al.</i>	No. 3:11-cv-11529-DRH-PMF
<i>Maxwell v. Bayer Corp., et al.</i>	No. 3:10-cv-10228-DRH-PMF
<i>McCandless v. Bayer Corp., et al.</i>	No. 3:10-cv-12342-DRH-PMF
<i>McKenzie v. Bayer Corp., et al.</i>	No. 3:11-cv-12178-DRH-PMF
<i>McMillan v. Bayer Corp., et al.</i>	No. 3:10-cv-13252-DRH-PMF
<i>Menino v. Bayer Corp., et al.</i>	No. 3:10-cv-13856-DRH-PMF
<i>Metteer v. Bayer Corp., et al.</i>	No. 3:11-cv-11566-DRH-PMF
<i>Morales v. Bayer Corp., et al.</i>	No. 3:11-cv-11560-DRH-PMF
<i>Morris v. Bayer Corp., et al.</i>	No. 3:10-cv-10162-DRH-PMF

JUDGMENT IN A CIVIL CASE



DECISION BY COURT. These matters are before the Court for the purpose of docket control.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that pursuant to the Stipulations of Dismissal filed on November 19, 2014, the above captioned cases are **DISMISSED** with prejudice. Each party shall bear their own costs.

**JUSTINE FLANAGAN,
ACTING CLERK OF COURT**

**BY: /s/Cheryl A. Ritter
Deputy Clerk**

Dated: November 21, 2014

APPROVED:


**U.S. DISTRICT JUDGE
U. S. DISTRICT COURT**

David R.
Herndon
2014.11.21
06:04:29 -06'00'